

Network File Systems

- Background: what is a network file system?
- Naming
 - » Lookup
 - » Transparency
- Accessing remote files
 - » Client's view of things
 - » Server's view of things (stateful vs. stateless)
- Replicating files
 - » Performance
 - » Reliability
- Example systems

DFS Structures & Naming

- Server: machine that provides services to clients
 - » May be multiple servers per DFS
 - » Servers may provide different functions (naming vs. files)
- Client: process (also machine) that makes requests of servers
 - » Client interface specifies possible file operations (read, etc.)
 - » Client interface should be transparent: client process can't tell whether file is local or remote
- Naming: maps between logical names & physical objects
 - » Multilevel mapping hides the details of where the file is physically located
 - » Transparent naming in DFS hides location in network where the file is stored
 - » Name mapping may return multiple locations if there's more than one copy of the file in the DFS: this information is hidden from the client

What Is a Network File System?

- Network (or distributed) file system (DFS) is
 - » File system distributed across many machines available from one or more clients
 - » File system on one machine available from many clients
- DFS may manage tens or hundreds (or more) storage devices
 - » Storage space may be broken into smaller pieces for easier management
 - » Storage spaces may be physically located in different places
- A single storage space is usually the unit that clients can choose to "import" (allow local users to access)

Naming & Transparency

- Location transparency: name doesn't reveal where the file is physically stored
 - » Name still corresponds to a specific set of blocks
 - » Sharing is convenient
 - » Name translation may be easier
 - » Can cause problems if server fails or administrator wants to reorganize the FS (reallocate space)
- Location independence: name doesn't change if file changes physical location
 - » Makes it easier to share the entire storage space
 - » Separates naming issues from storage issues
 - » Makes creation of replicas easier

Approaches to Naming

- Files named by combining host name and name local to host
 - » Guarantees a unique systemwide name
 - » Causes problems if a file needs to be used
 - » Sample system: AFS
- Storage spaces (in the form of directory trees) attached to local directory tree
 - » Looks like a single directory tree
 - » Only mounted directories can be accessed
 - » Directory mount points can be changed
 - » Sample system: NFS
- Totally integrated file system
 - » Single global name space for all files and all clients
 - » Unavailable server => some files and directories may not be available

Caching Files: Memory or Disk?

- File data from a server may be cached on disk or in memory
- Advantages of memory:
 - » Workstations don't need disks
 - » Memory is faster than disk
 - » Large memory can give big performance improvements
 - » Server caches are always in memory
- Advantages of disk:
 - » Cache can be larger than memory
 - » Data in cache survives reboot / failure
 - No need to fetch after crash
 - May be used to boot the system
 - » Data in cache is more reliable: delay writes to server longer

Accessing Remote Files

- Clients get files from servers when files are needed
- Clients often request the same files many times
 - » System executables
 - » User on client X always wants specific files of hers
- Reduce network traffic by keeping a copy of file blocks on the client in a *cache*
 - » Fetch data from server if data not in cache
 - » Perform accesses on cached copy
 - » Write data back to server if it changes
 - » Files still have one master copy, but may have fragments cached throughout many clients
 - » Problem: how does the DFS make sure that cached copies are *consistent* (all the same) with each other and the master file if one or more copies are written?

Caches & Writes to a File

- Write-through
 - » Data is written to the server as soon as it's written to cache
 - » Reliable: client crash doesn't cause lost data
 - » Poor performance: client has to write immediately
- Delayed-write
 - » Data is written to the server some time after it's written to the client cache
 - If file is deleted first, no write to server occurs!
 - If data is overwritten, only one write goes to server
 - » Reliability can be low: crash causes lost data
 - » Consistency can be difficult: caches hold modified data
 - » Several variations on policy:
 - Write after data reaches a fixed age (often ~30 seconds)
 - Write after file has been closed: write-on-close

Keeping Data Consistent

- Clients can keep copies of the same file
- One client might write the file - how do the others find out about the change?
- Client-initiated approach
 - » Client checks with the server to see if the file has been updated before using it
 - » Server then checks to see whether any other client has written the file
- Server-initiated approach
 - » Server keeps track of which clients are caching and modifying each file
 - » Server prevents consistency, perhaps by telling clients to remove files modified elsewhere from their own caches

Stateless File Servers

- Stateless file servers keep no per-client information
 - » Still allowed to cache inodes and file blocks in memory!
 - » Can't keep track of which files are actually open or which client is using them
- Individual requests are standalone
 - » Contain file identifier, offset in file, information on permissions
 - » File identifier need not be file name (usually inode number)
- No need for clients to open and close files
 - » Clients must still get a file identifier that corresponds to a particular file name
 - » Server has to check permissions on every request!
- Performance can be slower than stateful, but
 - » Easier to recover from client or server failure
 - » Makes maintaining consistency easier (albeit slower)

Stateful File Servers

- Server keeps track of which clients have opened which files, and also keep information for each file opened by a client
 - » Current position in the file
 - » Blocks the client has modified
- When client opens a file
 - » Server fetches file info from disk, holds it in memory, and gives the user an identifier for use with future accesses
 - » Server holds info in memory until file is explicitly closed
 - » Server can check security on file open and use cryptographic methods to ensure that future accesses are from the same client
- Stateful file servers can perform better
 - » Fewer security checks
 - » Server can read ahead on the file if client reads sequentially
 - » Server can keep track of multiple clients who are accessing the same file and manage consistency

Stateful vs. Stateless File Service

- Failure recovery
 - » Stateful server loses all of its volatile state in a crash - restores state by communicating with clients
 - » Stateful server must be aware of clients that fail as well - deallocate resources used to cache their files
 - » Stateful server & client barely notice that failure has occurred
 - Server that fails simply comes back up - no per-client information to recover
 - Server has no info to reclaim for client that fails
- Consistency
 - » Stateless servers can't easily maintain consistency themselves
 - » Stateful servers can track who's caching which files
- Performance
 - » Stateful servers tend to be faster
 - » Stateless servers recover from failures faster

Replicating Files

- Problem: file server crashes => file unavailable (or even lost!)
- Solution: keep multiple copies of the file on different servers
- Benefits
 - » Improves availability & reliability
 - » May improve performance (get the nearest copy)
- Issues:
 - » Naming scheme must map name to a particular replica
 - Pick the nearest or least loaded server
 - Existence of replicas must be invisible to clients
 - » Consistency
 - Updates must go to all replicas
 - Consistency must be kept as if all replicas were a single file

AFS

- AFS (Andrew File System)
- Naming
 - » Domains are mentioned as part of the name
 - » Names within a domain are location transparent & independent
- Server state
 - » Stateful file servers - slower & more complex recovery, but better performance
 - » Authentication done only when the file is opened
- Replication
 - » Automatic replication is supported
 - » Clients can keep copies long-term locally, particularly if they don't change often (system files)
- Security
 - » Pretty good (uses Kerberos)

NFS

- NFS (Network File System) is a classic distributed file system
- Naming
 - » Names are location transparent but not location independent
 - » Names need not be consistent between two clients
- Server state
 - » Stateless file servers: easier to recover from crashes (which were relatively common when NFS was designed)
 - » Each request must contain all information necessary for the I/O, including user & authentication info
- Replication
 - » No automatic replication
 - » Clients can keep copies in their local file systems
- Security
 - » Hah!