

Reminders

- Exams will be returned next Tuesday
- Solutions for Exam will be posted
- Homework will be released today
 - Notification will be sent via email
- Quiz next Thursday on Algorithms and Complexity

CMSC 203: Lecture 12

Algorithms and Complexity (Continued)

Reminder of Big-O

- **Big-O notation** is used for asymptotic analysis
 - Interested as input goes to infinite
 - Excludes coefficients and low order terms
- Provides an “upper-bound” for the algorithm
- Given two functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, we say that $f(x)$ is $O(g(x))$ if: $\exists c, k$ such that $|f(x)| \leq c |g(x)|$ for all $x > k$
 - $g(x)$ is an upper bound for $f(x)$ for all $x > k$

Practice

Show that $7x^2$ is $O(x^3)$

Practice

Show that $7x^2$ is $O(x^3)$

We need some k and c such that $7x^2 < x^3$ when $x > k$

$c = 1, k = 7$

$7x^2 < (1)x^3$ when $x > 7$

Practice

Show that x^2 is not $O(x)$

Practice

Show that x^2 is not $O(x)$

Assume some c and k exist

$$n^2 \leq cn \text{ for all } n > k$$

Divide by n for: $n \leq c$

No c exists that can be greater than all integers

n will eventually grow larger than c

Therefore, this contradicts our assumption a c exists

x^2 is not $O(x)$

Growth Functions

- We want to compare the runtime of algorithms
- We can compute the “growth function” with Big-O
- There are several common growth functions we will see

Constant Growth

- **Constant Time** - $O(1)$
 - Size of input has no bearing on time complexity
 - Examples:
 - Accessing single element in an array
 - Checking if a number is even or odd

Logarithmic Growth

- **Logarithmic Time** – $O(\log n)$
 - Frequently seen in binary search and binary trees
 - Operations per instance decrease to complete decreases per instance
 - Examples:
 - Binary search (cuts size of list in half each search)
 - Finding a word in dictionary (with binary search)
 - Algorithms are considered efficient with this

Linear Growth

- **Linear Time** – $O(n)$
 - Run time increases linearly with size of input
 - Frequently seen when algorithm accesses every item in list once
 - Examples:
 - Search / min / max in unsorted list
 - Reading a book (each page)
 - Still pretty good run-time

Linearithmic Growth

- **Linearithmic Time** – $O(n \log n)$
 - Perform a logarithmic operation for every element in the input
 - Frequently seen with sorting and binary trees
 - Examples:
 - Sorting a deck of cards (with mergesort)
 - Not as great as linear, but better than polynomial

Polynomial Growth

- **Polynomial Time** – $O(n^k)$ for some constant k
 - Bounded by any polynomial expression
 - Examples:
 - Bubble sort
 - Most nested “for” loops
 - Checking if every item on a shopping list is in the cart
 - Considered **Tractable**
 - Complexity class **P** (more on this later)

Exponential Growth

- **Exponential Time** – $O(c^n)$ for some constant c
 - **Intractable** – very poor run time
 - ie: Very slow with even moderately sized input
 - Examples:
 - Bruteforcing a password
 - Satisfiability of a logical proposition

Factorial Growth

- **Factorial Time** – $O(n!)$
 - Extremely long time, very intractable
 - Example:
 - Finding all orders for a traveling salesman to visit n cities

Comparison of Growth Functions

- In order of smallest to largest
 - **Constant** $O(1)$
 - **Logarithmic** $O(\log(n))$
 - **Linear** $O(n)$
 - **Linearithmic** $O(n \log(n))$
 - **Polynomial** $O(n^2), O(n^3), \dots$
 - **Exponential** $O(2^n)$
 - **Factorial** $O(n!)$

Finding Big-O

- Consider time complexity of an algorithm
 - Steps in algorithm relative to input size
- Find upper bound
 - See last class / beginning of this class
 - Try to use growth functions (or combination thereof)
 - Use simplifying assumptions

Simplifying Assumptions

- Constants **DON'T** matter

- $f(n) = O(cf(n)) \rightarrow f(n) = O(f(n))$

- Lower order terms **DON'T** matter

- $f(n) = O(f(n)) \wedge g(n) = O(g(n)) \rightarrow$
 $f(n) + g(n) = O(\max(f(n), g(n)))$

- Product of functions **DO** matter

- $f(n) = O(f(n)) \wedge g(n) = O(g(n)) \rightarrow$
 $f(n) * g(n) = O(f(n) * g(n))$

Example of Simplifying Assumptions

- $3x^4 + 18x^3 + 32x^2 + 4x + 20 = O(?)$
- $(3n + 8) * (4n + 12) = O(?)$
- $3n^2 * 10n^2 = O(?)$
- $20 + 15 + 12 = O(?)$

Example of Simplifying Assumptions

- $3x^4 + 18x^3 + 32x^2 + 4x + 20 = O(x^4)$
- $(3n + 8) * (4n + 12) = O(n^2)$
- $3n^2 * 10n^2 = O(n^4)$
- $20 + 15 + 12 = O(1)$
- **Note:** Even though $2x = O(n^4)$, we wish to form a **tight-bound** that is the smallest Big-O growth
 - Thus, we would prefer to use $O(x)$

Practice

- Find the runtime and Big-O of these programs
- ```
sum := 0
for j := 1 to n
 for i := 1 to n
 sum := sum + 1
for k := 0 to n
 a[k] := k
```
- ```
i := 1
k := 0
while i ≤ k
    k := k + 1
    i := i*2
```

P vs. NP

- All **tractable** problems are in **P**
- All **intractable** problems are in **NP**
 - Problems in NP are “hard” problems where approximations are generally accepted
 - Tractable if some magic computer (“oracle”) gave us an answer we only have to verify
- It has not been proven that $P \neq NP$, but it is widely believed to be true
 - Proving $P = NP$ makes problems like AI easier, but breaks many fundamentals in cryptography