Rules, RIF and RuleML

Rule Knowledge

- Rules generalize facts, making them conditional on other facts (often via chaining through further rules)
- Rules generalize taxonomies via multiple premises, n-ary predicates, structured arguments, etc.
- Two uses of rules: top-down (backwardchaining) and bottom-up (forward-chaining) – represented only once

The interchange approach

- W3C's RDF stack is an integrated solution for encoding & interchanging knowledge
 - Supporting OWL (DL) constrains it quite a bit
 - E.g., preventing adoption of an OWL rule standard
- There are other approaches to standardizing rule languages for knowledge exchange
 - RuleML: Rule Markup Language, an XML approach for representing rules
 - RIF: Rule Interchange Format, a W3C standard for exchanging rules
- Neither tries to be compatible with OWL

Many different rule languages

- There are rule languages families: logic, logic programming, production, procedural, etc.
 - Instances in a family may differ in their syntax, semantics or other aspects
- Jess production rule language (defrule r42 (parent ?a ?b) (male ?a) => (assert (father ?a ?b)))
- Prolog logic programming language father(A,B) :- parent(A,B), Male (A).
- <u>Common Logic</u> logic format (=> (and (parent ?a ?b) (male ?a)) (father ?a ?b))

X Interchange Format

- Rather than have N² translators for N languages, we could
 - -Develop a common rule interchange format
 - -Let each language do import/export mappings for it
- Two modern interchange formats for rules
 - RuleML: Rule Markup Language, an XML approach for representing rules
 - RIF: Rule Interchange Format, a W3C standard for exchanging rules





- RuleML's goal: express both forward (bottom-up) and backward (top-down) rules in XML
- See <u>http://ruleml.org/</u>
- Effort began in 2001 and has informed and been informed by W3C efforts
- An "open network of individuals and groups from both industry and academia"

RIF

- W3C Rule Interchange Format
- Three dialects: Core, BLD, and PRD
 - Core: common subset of most rule engines, a "safe" positive datalog with builtins
 - BLD (Basic Logic Dialect): adds logic functions, equality and named arguments, ~positive horn logic
 - PRD (Production Rules Dialect): adds action with side effects in rule conclusion
- Has a mapping to RDF

An example of a RIF rule

From http://w3.org/2005/rules/wiki/Primer

Document(

Prefix(rdfs <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#>) Prefix(imdbrel <http://example.com/imdbrelations#>) Prefix(dbpedia <http://dbpedia.org/ontology/>)

Group(

Forall ?Actor ?Film ?Role (If And(imdbrel:playsRole(?Actor ?Role) imdbrel:roleInFilm(?Role ?Film)) Then dbpedia:starring(?Film ?Actor))))

Another RIF example, with guards

From <u>http://w3.org/2005/rules/wiki/Primer</u>

Document(

Prefix(rdf <http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#>)

Prefix(rdfs <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#>)

Prefix(imdbrel <http://example.com/imdbrelations#>)

Prefix(dbpedia http://dbpedia.org/ontology/)

Group(

Forall ?Actor ?Film ?Role (

If And(?Actor # imdbrel:Actor ?Film # imdbrel:Film ?Role # imdbrel:Character imdbrel:playsRole(?Actor ?Role) imdbrel:roleInFilm(?Role ?Film)) Then dbpedia:starring(?Film ?Actor))))

Rif document can contain facts

The following will conclude *bio:mortal(phil:Socrates)*

Document(

- Prefix(bio <http://example.com/biology#>)
- Prefix(phil <http://example.com/philosophers#>)

Group(

If bio:human(?x)

Then bio:mortal(?x))

Group(

bio:human(phil:Socrates)))

Another RIF example (PRD)

From <u>http://w3.org/2005/rules/wiki/Primer</u>

Document(

Prefix(rdfs <http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#>)

Prefix(imdbrelf <http://example.com/fauximdbrelations#>)

Prefix(dbpediaf <http://example.com/fauxibdbrelations>)

Prefix(ibdbrelf <http://example.com/fauxibdbrelations#>) Group(

Forall ?Actor (

If Or(Exists ?Film (imdbrelf:winAward(?Actor ?Film)) Exists ?Play (ibdbrelf:winAward(?Actor ?Play)))

Then assert(dbpediaf:awardWinner(?Actor)))

imdbrelf:winAward(RobertoBenigni LifeIsBeautiful)))

Why do we need YAKL

- YAKL: Yet another knowledge language
- Rules are good for representing knowledge
- Rule idioms have powerful features that are not and can not be supported by OWL
 - Non-monotonic rules
 - Default reasoning
 - Arbitrary functions, including some with with side effects
 - etc.

Non-monotonic rules

- Non-monotonic rules use an "unprovable" operator
- This can be used to implement default reasoning, e.g.,
 - assume P(X) is true for some X unless
 you can prove hat it is not
 - Assume that a bird can fly unless you know it can not

monotonic

```
canFly(X) :- bird (X)
bird(X) :- eagle(X)
bird(X) :- penguin(X)
eagle(sam)
penguin(tux)
```

Non-monotonic

```
canFly(X) :- bird (X), \+ not(canFly(X))
bird(X) :- eagle(X)
bird(X) :- penguin(X)
not(canFly(X)) :- penguin(X)
not(canFly(X)) :- dead(X)
eagle(sam)
penguin(tux)
```

Default rules in Prolog

- In prolog it's easy to have
 - default(?head :- ?body).
- Expand to
 - ?head :- ?body, +\ not(?head) .
- So
 - default(canFly(X) :- bird(X))
- Expands to
 - canFly(X) :- bird(X), \+(not(canFly(X))).

Rule priorities

- This approach can be extended to implement systems where rules have priorities
- This seems to be intuitive to people used in many human systems
 - E.g., University policy overrules
 Department policy
 - The "Ten Commandments" can not be contravened

Two Semantic Webs?



Limitations

- The rule inference support not integrated with OWL classifier
 - New assertions by rules may violate existing restrictions in ontology
 - New inferred knowledge from classification may produce knowledge useful for rules



Limitations

- Existing solution: solve possible conflicts manually
- Ideal solution: a single module for both ontology classification and rule inference
- What if we want to combine nonmonotonic features with classical logic?
- Partial Solutions:
 - Answer set programming
 - Externally via appropriate rule engines

Summary

- Horn logic is a subset of predicate logic that allows efficient reasoning, orthogonal to description logics
- Horn logic is the basis of monotonic rules
- DLP and SWRL are two important ways of combining OWL with Horn rules.
 - DLP is essentially the intersection of OWL and Horn logic
 - SWRL is a much richer language

Summary (2)

- Nonmonotonic rules are useful in situations where available information is incomplete
- They are rules that may be overridden by contrary evidence
- Priorities are sometimes used to resolve some conflicts between rules
- Representation XML-like languages is straightforward