

Knowledge-Based Agents

Chapter 7.1-7.3

Big Idea




- Drawing reasonable conclusions from a set of data (observations, beliefs, etc.) seems key to intelligence
- Logic is a powerful and well developed approach to this & highly regarded by people
- Logic is also a strong formal system that computers can use (cf. John McCarthy)
- We can solve some AI problems by representing them in logic and applying standard proof techniques to generate solutions

Inference in People

- People can do logical inference, but are not always very good at it
- Reasoning with negation and disjunction seems particularly difficult
- But, people seem to employ many kinds of reasoning strategies, most of which are neither *complete* nor *sound*

Thinking Fast and Slow



- A popular 2011 book by a Nobel prize winning author
- His model is we have two different types of reasoning facilities
- **System 1** operates automatically and quickly, with little or no effort and no sense of voluntary control
- **System 2** allocates attention to effortful mental activities that demand it, including complex computations (e.g., logic, arithmetic, writing software, etc.)

Question #1

Here is a simple puzzle

Don't try to solve it -- listen to your intuition

Question #1

Here is a simple puzzle

Don't try to solve it -- listen to your intuition

- A bat and ball cost \$1.10
- The bat costs one dollar more than the ball
- How much does the ball cost?

Question #1

Here is a simple puzzle

Don't try to solve it -- listen to your intuition

- A bat and ball cost \$1.10
- The bat costs one dollar more than the ball
- How much does the ball cost?

The ball costs \$0.05

Question #2

Try to determine, as quickly as you can, if the argument is logically valid. Does the conclusion follow the premises?

Question #2

Try to determine, as quickly as you can, if the argument is logically valid. Does the conclusion follow the premises?

- **All roses are flowers**
- **Some flowers fade quickly**
- **Therefore some roses fade quickly**

Question #2

Try to determine, as quickly as you can, if the argument is logically valid. Does the conclusion follow the premises?

- All roses are flowers
- Some flowers fade quickly
- Therefore some roses fade quickly

It is possible that there are no roses among the flowers that fade quickly

Question #3

It takes 5 machines 5 minutes to make 5 widgets

How long would it take 100 machines to make 100 widgets?

Question #3

It takes 5 machines 5 minutes to make 5 widgets

How long would it take 100 machines to make 100 widgets?

- **100 minutes or 5 minutes?**

Question #3

It takes 5 machines 5 minutes to make 5 widgets


How long would it take 100 machines to make 100 widgets?

- 100 minutes or 5 minutes?

5 minutes


Wason Selection Task

- I have a pack of cards; each has a *letter* written on one side and a *number* on the other
- I claim the following rule is true:
If a card has a *vowel* on one side, then it has an *even number* on the other
- Which cards should you turn over in order to decide whether the rule is true or false?



Wason Selection Task


- Wason (1966) showed that people are bad at this task
- To disprove rule $P \Rightarrow Q$, find a situation in which P is true but Q is false, i.e., show $P \wedge \sim Q$
- To disprove **vowel** \Rightarrow **even**, find a card with a vowel and an odd number
- Thus, turn over the cards showing **vowels** and those showing **odd numbers**



Wason Selection Task



- This version is easier for people, as shown by Griggs & Cox, 1982
- You are the bouncer in a bar; which of these people do you card given the rule: *You must be 21 or older to drink beer.*



- Perhaps easier because it's more familiar or because people have special strategies to reason about certain situations, such as cheating in a social situation

Negation in Natural Language



- We often model the meaning of natural language sentences as a logic statements
- This maps these into equivalent statements
 - All elephants are gray
 - No elephant are not gray
- Double negation is common in informal language: *that won't do you no good*
- But what does this mean: *we cannot underestimate the importance of logic*

Logic as a Methodology

Even if people don't use formal logical reasoning for solving a problem, logic might be a good approach for AI for a number of reasons

- Airplanes don't need to flap their wings
 - Logic may be a good implementation strategy
 - Solution in a formal system can offer other benefits, e.g., letting us prove properties of the approach
- See [neats vs. scruffies](#)

Knowledge-based agents

- Knowledge-based agents have a knowledge base (KB) and an inference system
- KB: a set of representations of facts believed true
- Each individual representation is called a **sentence**
- Sentences are expressed in a **knowledge representation language**
- The agent operates as follows:
 1. It **TELLs** the KB what it perceives
 2. It **ASKs** the KB what action it should perform
 3. It performs the chosen action

Architecture of a KB agent



- **Knowledge Level**

- Most abstract: describe agent by what it knows
- Ex: Autonomous vehicle knows Golden Gate Bridge connects San Francisco with the Marin County

- **Logical Level**

- Level where knowledge is encoded into *sentences*
- Ex: **links**(GoldenGateBridge, SanFran, MarinCounty)

- **Implementation Level**

- Software representation of sentences, e.g.
`(links goldengatebridge sanfran marincounty)`




Wumpus World environment

- Based on [Hunt the Wumpus](#) computer game
- Agent explores cave of rooms connected by passageways
- Lurking in a room is the *Wumpus*, a beast that eats any agent that enters its room
- Some rooms have *bottomless pits* that trap any agent that wanders into the room
- Somewhere is a heap of gold in a room
- Goal: collect gold & exit w/o being eaten

AIMA's Wumpus World

The agent always starts in the field [1,1]

Agent's task is to find the gold, return to the field [1,1] and climb out of the cave



Agent in a Wumpus world: Percepts

- The agent perceives
 - **stench** in square containing Wumpus and in adjacent squares (not diagonally)
 - **breeze** in squares adjacent to a pit
 - **glitter** in the square where the gold is
 - **bump**, if it walks into a wall
 - Woeful **scream** everywhere in cave, if Wumpus killed
- Percepts given as five-tuple, e.g., if stench and breeze, but no glitter, bump or scream:
[Stench, Breeze, None, None, None]
- Agent cannot perceive its location, e.g., (2,2)

Wumpus World Actions

- **go forward**
- **turn right** 90 degrees
- **turn left** 90 degrees
- **grab**: Pick up object in same square as agent
- **shoot**: Fire arrow in direction agent faces. It continues until it hits & kills Wumpus or hits outer wall. Agent has one arrow, so only first shoot action has effect
- **Climb**: leave cave, only effective in start square
- **die**: automatically and irretrievably happens if agent enters square with pit or living Wumpus

Wumpus World Goal


Agent's goal is to find the gold and bring it back to the start square as quickly as possible, without getting killed

- 1,000 point reward for climbing out of cave with gold
- 1 point deducted for every action taken
- 10,000 point penalty for getting killed

AIMA's Wumpus World

The agent always starts in the field [1,1]

Agent's task is to find the gold, return to the field [1,1] and climb out of the cave



The Hunter's first step

1,4	2,4	3,4	4,4
1,3	2,3	3,3	4,3
1,2	2,2	3,2	4,2
OK			
1,1 A OK	2,1 OK	3,1	4,1

(a)

- A = Agent
- B = Breeze
- G = Glitter, Gold
- OK = Safe square
- P = Pit
- S = Stench
- V = Visited
- W = Wumpus

1,4	2,4	3,4	4,4
1,3	2,3	3,3	4,3
1,2	2,2 P? -W	3,2	4,2
OK			
1,1 V OK	2,1 A B OK	3,1 P? -W	4,1

(b)

Since agent is alive and perceives neither breeze nor stench at [1,1], it **knows** [1,1] and its neighbors are OK


Moving to [2,1] is a **safe move** that reveals a breeze but no stench, **implying** that Wumpus isn't adjacent but one or more pits are

Exploring a wumpus world

OK			
OK A	OK		


A	agent
B	breeze
G	glitter
OK	safe cell
P	pit
S	stench
W	wumpus

Exploring a wumpus world




A agent
B breeze
G glitter
OK safe cell
P pit
S stench
W wumpus

Exploring a wumpus world




A	agent
B	breeze
G	glitter
OK	safe cell
P	pit
S	stench
W	wumpus

Exploring a wumpus world



A	agent
B	breeze
G	glitter
OK	safe cell
P	pit
S	stench
W	wumpus

Exploring a wumpus world




A	agent
B	breeze
G	glitter
OK	safe cell
P	pit
S	stench
W	wumpus

No stench in (1,2) \Rightarrow Wumpus not in (2,2)


No breeze in (2,1) \Rightarrow no pit in (2,2) \Rightarrow pit in (1,3)

Exploring a wumpus world



A	agent
B	breeze
G	glitter
OK	safe cell
P	pit
S	stench
W	wumpus


Exploring a wumpus world



A	agent
B	breeze
G	glitter
OK	safe cell
P	pit
S	stench
W	wumpus

Going to (2,2) is the only “safe” move


Exploring a wumpus world



A	agent
B	breeze
G	glitter
OK	safe cell
P	pit
S	stench
W	wumpus

Going to (2,3) is a “safe” move

Exploring a wumpus world



Found gold! Now find way back to (1,1)

Logic in general

- **Logics** are formal languages for representing information so that conclusions can be drawn
- **Syntax** defines the sentences in the language
- **Semantics** define the "meaning" of sentences
 - i.e., define **truth** of a sentence in a world

E.g., the language of arithmetic


- $x+2 \geq y$ is a sentence; $x^2+y > \{ \}$ is not a sentence
- $x+2 \geq y$ is true iff the number $x+2$ is no less than the number y
- $x+2 \geq y$ is true in a world where $x = 7, y = 1$
- $x+2 \geq y$ is false in a world where $x = 0, y = 6$
- $x+1 > x$ is true for all numbers x

Entailment

- **Entailment**: one thing **follows from** another
- $KB \models \alpha$
- Knowledge base KB entails sentence α iff α is true in *all possible worlds* where KB is true
 - E.g., the KB containing “UMBC won” and “JHU won” entails “Either UMBC won or JHU won”
 - E.g., $x+y = 4$ entails $4 = x+y$
 - Entailment is a relationship between (sets of) sentences (i.e., **syntax**) that is based on **semantics**


Models

- Logicians talk of **models**: formally structured worlds w.r.t which truth can be evaluated
- **m is a model of sentence α** if α is true in m
 - Lots of other things might or might not be true or might be unknown in m
- $M(\alpha)$ is the set of all models of α
- Then $KB \models \alpha$ iff $M(KB) \subseteq M(\alpha)$
 - $KB = \text{UMBC and JHU won}$
 - $\alpha = \text{UMBC won}$
 - Then $KB \models \alpha$



Entailment in the Wumpus World

- Situation after detecting nothing in [1,1], moving right, breeze in [2,1]
- Possible models for *KB* assuming only pits and restricting cells to $\{(1,3)(2,1)(2,2)\}$
- Two observations: $\sim B_{11}$, B_{12}
- Three propositional variables variables: P_{13} , P_{21} , P_{22}
- \Rightarrow 8 possible models








B₁₁: breeze in (1,1)

P₁₃: pit in (1,3)

Wumpus models

P13	P21	P22
F	F	F
F	F	T
F	T	F
F	T	T
T	F	F
T	F	T
T	T	F
T	T	T

Each row is a possible world



Wumpus World Rules (1)

- If a cell has a pit, then a breeze is observable in every adjacent cell
- In propositional calculus we can not have rules with variables (e.g., for all X...)

$P_{11} \Rightarrow B_{21}$

$P_{11} \Rightarrow B_{12}$

$P_{21} \Rightarrow B_{11}$

$P_{21} \Rightarrow B_{22} \dots$

If a pit in (1,1) then a breeze in (2,1), ...

these also follow

$\sim B_{21} \Rightarrow \sim P_{11}$







$\sim B_{12} \Rightarrow \sim P_{11}$

$\sim B_{11} \Rightarrow \sim P_{21}$

$\sim B_{22} \Rightarrow \sim P_{21}$

...

Only three of the possible models are consistent with what we know



KB = wumpus-world rules + observations


Wumpus World Rules (2)

- Cell safe if it has neither a pit nor wumpus

$$OK_{11} \Rightarrow \sim P_{11} \wedge \sim W_{11}$$

$$OK_{12} \Rightarrow \sim P_{12} \wedge \sim W_{12} \dots$$

OK₁₁: (1,1) is safe
W₁₁: Wumpus in (1,1)




- From which we can derive

$$P_{11} \vee W_{11} \Rightarrow \sim OK_{11}$$

$$P_{11} \Rightarrow \sim OK_{11}$$


$$W_{11} \Rightarrow \sim OK_{11} \dots$$

Wumpus models




- *KB* = wumpus-world rules + observations

Wumpus models



- KB = wumpus-world rules + observations
- α_1 = “[1,2] is safe”
- *Since all models include α_1*
- $KB \models \alpha_1$, proved by **model checking**

Is (2,2) Safe?



- KB = wumpus-world rules + observations
- α_2 = "[2,2] is safe"
- Since some models don't include α_2 , $KB \not\models \alpha_2$
- We cannot prove OK22; it might be true or false

Inference, Soundness, Completeness


- $KB \vdash_i \alpha$ = sentence α can be derived from KB by procedure i
- **Soundness:** i is sound if whenever $KB \vdash_i \alpha$, it is also true that $KB \models \alpha$
- **Completeness:** i is complete if whenever $KB \models \alpha$, it is also true that $KB \vdash_i \alpha$
- Preview: **first-order logic** is expressive enough to say almost anything of interest and has a **sound** and **complete** inference procedure

Soundness and completeness

- A *sound* inference method derives only entailed sentences
- Analogous to the property of *completeness* in search, a *complete* inference method can derive any sentence that is entailed

No independent access to the world

- Reasoning agents often get knowledge about facts of the world as a sequence of logical sentences and must draw conclusions only from them w/o independent access to world
- Thus, it is very important that the agents' reasoning is sound!



Summary

- Intelligent agents need knowledge about world for good decisions
- Agent's knowledge stored in a knowledge base (KB) as **sentences** in a knowledge representation (KR) language
- Knowledge-based agents needs a **KB & inference mechanism**. They store sentences in KB, infer new sentences & use them to **deduce** which actions to take
- A **representation language** defined by its syntax & semantics, which specify structure of sentences & how they relate to facts of the world
- **Interpretation** of a sentence is fact to which it refers. If fact is part of the actual world, then the sentence is true

Fín