Variables, Environments and Closures



Overview

- Touch on the notions of variable extent and scope
- Introduce the notions of lexical scope and dynamic scope for variables
- Provide a simple model for variable environments in Scheme
- Show examples of closures in Scheme

Variables, free and bound

- In this function, to what does the variable GOOGOL refer?
 - (define (big-number? x)

;; returns true if x is a really big number

(> x GOOGOL))

• The **scope** of the variable X is just the body of the function for which it's a parameter.

Here, GOOGOL is a global variable

- > (define GOOGOL (expt 10 100))
- > GOOGOL
- > (define (big-number? x) (> x GOOGOL))
- > (big-number? (add1 (expt 10 100)))

#t

Which X is accessed at the end?

- > (define GOOGOL (expt 10 100))
- > GOOGOL
- > (define x -1)
- > (define (big-number? x) (> x GOOGOL))
- > (big-number? (add1 (expt 10 100)))
- #t

Variables, free and bound

• In the body of this function, we say that the variable (or symbol) X is **bound** and GOOGOL is **free**

(define (big-number? x)

- ; returns true if X is a really big number
- (> X GOOGOL))
- If it has a value, it has to be bound somewhere else

The let form creates local variables

> (let [(pi 3.1415) (e 2.7168)] Note: square brackets are like parens, but only match other square brackets. They can to help you cope with paren fatigue.

(big-number? (expt pi e)))

#f

- The general form is (let <varlist> . <body>)
- It creates a local environment, binding the variables to their initial values, and evaluates the expressions in <body>

Let creates a block of expressions

(if (> a b)
 (let ()
 (printf "a is bigger than b.~n")
 (printf "b is smaller than a.~n")
 #t)
#f)

Let is just syntactic sugar for lambda

(let [(pi 3.1415) (e 2.7168)] (big-number? (expt pi e)))

((lambda (pi e) (big-number? (expt pi e))) 3.1415 2.7168)

and this is how we did it back before ~1973

Let is just syntactic sugar for lambda

What happens here:

(define x 2) (let [(x 10) (xx (* x 2))] (printf "x is ~s and xx is ~s.~n" x xx))

x is 10 and xx is 4.

Let is just syntactic sugar for lambda

What happens here:

(define x 2) ((lambda (x xx) (printf "x is ~s and xx is ~s.~n" x xx)) 10 (* 2 x))

x is 10 and xx is 4.

Let is just syntactic sugar for lambda

What happens here:

(define x 2)

(define (f000034 x xx) (printf "x is ~s and xx is ~s.~n" x xx)) (f000034 10 (* 2 x))

x is 10 and xx is 4.

let and let*

- The let special form evaluates all initial value expressions, and then creates a new environment with local variables bound to them, "in parallel"
- The let* form does is sequentially
- let* expands to a series of nested lets (let* [(x 100)(xx (* 2 x))] (foo x xx)) (let [(x 100)] (let [(xx (* 2 x))] (foo x xx)))

What happens here?

> (define X 10)
> (let [(X (* X X))]
 (printf "X is ~s.~n" X)
 (set! X 1000)
 (printf "X is ~s.~n" X)
 -1)
???
> X
???

What happens here?

> (define X 10)
> (let [(X (* X X))]
 (printf "X is ~s\n" X)
 (set! X 1000)
 (printf "X is ~s\n" X)
 -1)
X is 100
X is 1000
-1
> X
10

What happens here?

- > (define GOOGOL (expt 10 100))
- > (define (big-number? x) (> x GOOGOL))

> (let [(GOOGOL (expt 10 101))]

(big-number? (add1 (expt 10 100))))

???

What happens here?

- > (define GOOGOL (expt 10 100))
- > (define (big-number? x) (> x GOOGOL))
- > (let [(GOOGOL (expt 10 101))]
 - (big-number? (add1 (expt 10 100))))

#t

- The free variable GOOGOL is looked up in the environment in which the big-number? function was defined!
- Not in the environment in which it was called

functions

- Note that a simple notion of a function can give us the machinery for
 - Creating a block of code with a sequence of expressions to be evaluated in order
 - Creating a block of code with one or more local variables
- Functional programming language is to use functions to provide other familiar constructs (e.g., objects)
- · And also constructs that are unfamiliar

Dynamic vs. Static Scoping

- Programming languages either use dynamic or static (aka lexical) <u>scoping</u>
- In a statically scoped language, free variables in functions are looked up in the environment in which the function is *defined*
- In a dynamically scoped language, free variables are looked up in the environment in which the function is *called*

History

- Lisp started out as a dynamically scoped language and moved to static scoping with <u>Common Lisp</u> in ~1980
- Today, fewer languages use only dynnamic scoping, <u>Logo</u> and <u>Emacs Lisp</u> among them
- Perl and Common Lisp let you define some variables as dynamically scoped

Dynamic scoping

Here's a model for dynamic binding:

- Variables have a global stack of bindings
- Creating a new variable X in a block pushes a binding onto the global X stack
- Exiting the block pops X's binding stack
- Accessing X always produces the top binding

Special variables in Lisp

- Common Lisp's dynamically scoped variables are called special variables
- Declare a variable special using defvar

> (set 'reg 5) 5 > (defun check-reg () reg) CHECK-REG > (check-reg) 5 > (let ((reg 6)) (check-reg)) 5	<pre>> (defvar *spe* 5) *SPE* > (defun check-spe () *spe*) CHECK-SPE > (check-spe) 5 > (let ((*spe* 6)) (check-spe)) 6</pre>
--	--

Advantages and disadvantages

- + Easy to implement
- + Easy to modify a function's behavior by dynamically rebinding free variables (let ((IO stderr)) (printf "warning..."))
- - Can unintentionally shadow a global variable
- A compiler can never know what a free variable will refer to, making type checking impossible

Closures

- Lisp is a lexically scoped language
- Free variables referenced in a function are looked up in the environment in which the function is defined
 Recall: free variables are those a function (or block) doesn't create scope for
- A <u>closure</u> is a function that remembers the environment in which it was created
- An environment is just a collection of variable names and their values, plus a parent environment

Why closures, where closures

- Closures turn out to be very useful in languages that support functional programming
- Most modern dynamic PLs do: Python, Javascript, Php, Ruby, etc.
- They are interesting when you can (1) define a function in an environment, (2) return a reference to it outside the environment and (3) call the function later

Example: make-counter

- make-counter creates an environment using let with a local variable *C* initially 0
- It defines and returns a new function, using lambda, that can access & modify C

	> (define (make-counter)		>(c1)
	(let ((C 0))		1
	(lambda ()		>(c1)
	(set! C (+ 1 C))		2
	C)))		>(c1)
	<pre>> (define c1 (make-counter))</pre>		3
> (def	<pre>> (define c2 (make-counter))</pre>		> (c2)
			???

What is a function?

- (define (add1 x) (+ x 1))
- This binds the variable add1 to a new function
- In Scheme, a function is just a data structure with three components:
- A parameter list (e.g., (x))
- An expression to evaluate (e.g., (+ x 1))
- A pointer to the variable environment it was created in

What's an environment?

- An environment is a data structure with two parts:
- A pointer to its parent environment (which might be null if this environment is the top-level global one)
- A data structure to hold pairs of variable names and their current values (e.g., a dictionary, hashtable or even a simple list)
- Operations on an environment include define, set! and lookup

Environment Operations

- Define: add a new variable in an environment and give it an initial value
- Lookup: find a variable in an enviroment or one of its ancestors and return its value
- Set!: find a variable in an environment or one of its ancestors and change its value















A fancier make-counter

Write a fancier make-counter function that takes an optional argument that specifies the increment

- > (define by1-counter (make-counter))
- > (define by2-counter (make-counter 2))
- > (define decrementing-counter (make-counter -1))
- > (by2-counter)

2 (by2-counter)

4

Optional arguments in Scheme

> (define (f (x 10) (y 20)) (printf "x=~a and y=~a\n" x y)) > (f) x=10 and y=20 > (f -1) x=-1 and y=20 > (f -1 -2) x=-1 and y=-2

Fancier make-counter

(define (make-counter (inc 1)) (let ((C 0)) (lambda () (set! C (+ C inc)))))

Keyword arguments in Scheme

- Scheme, like Lisp, also has a way to define functions that take *keyword arguments*
- -(make-counter)
- -(make-counter :initial 100)
- -(make-counter :increment -1)
- -(make-counter :initial 10 :increment -2)
- Scheme dialects have introduced different ways to mix positional arguments, optional arguments, default values, keyword argument, etc.

Closure tricks

(define foo #f) (define bar #f)

- We can write several functions that are closed in the same environment, which can then provide a private communication channel
- (let ((secret-msg "none"))
 (set! foo
 (lambda (msg)
 (set! secret-msg msg)))
 (set! bar
 (lambda () secret-msg)))
 (display (bar)) ; prints "none"
 (newline)
 (foo "attack at dawn")
 (display (bar)) ; prints "attack at
 dawn"

Closures are powerful

- Closures let do many interesting and useful things, including
 - Delay a computation and execute it later
 - Implement streams
 - Curry functions
 - Etc.
- We'll look at some of these next

Summary

- Scheme, like most modern languages, is lexically scoped
- Common Lisp is by default, but still allows some variables to be declared to be dynamically scoped
- A few languages still use dynamic scoping
- Lexical scoping supports functional programming & powerful mechanisms (e.g., closures)
- More complex to implement, though