

Rule-based Programming, Logic Programming and Prolog

What is Logic Programming?

There are many (overlapping) perspectives on logic programming

- Computations as Deduction
- Theorem Proving
- Non-procedural Programming
- Algorithms minus Control
- A Very High Level Programming Language
- A Procedural Interpretation of Declarative Specifications

The Paradigm

- An important programming paradigm is to express a program as a set of rules
- The rules are independent and often unordered
- CFGs can be thought of as a rule based system
- We'll take a brief look at a particular sub-paradigm, Logic Programming
- And at Prolog, the most successful of the logic programming languages

History

- Logic Programming has roots going back to early AI researchers like John McCarthy in the 50s & 60s
- Alain Colmerauer (France) designed Prolog as the first LP language in the early 1970s
- Bob Kowalski and colleagues in the UK evolved the language to its current form in the late 70s
- It's been widely used for many AI systems, but also for systems that need a fast, efficient and clean rule based engine
- The prolog model has also influenced the database community – see datalog

Computation as Deduction

- Logic programming offers a slightly different paradigm for computation: *computation is logical deduction*
- It uses the language of logic to express data and programs.
Forall X, Y: *X is the father of Y if X is a parent of Y and X is male*
- Current logic programming languages use first order logic (FOL) which is often referred to as first order predicate calculus (FOPC).
- The *first order* refers to the constraint that we can quantify (i.e. generalize) over objects, but not over functions or relations. We can express "*All elephants are mammals*" but not
"for every continuous function f, if $n < m$ and $f(n) < 0$ and $f(m) > 0$ then there exists an x such that $n < x < m$ and $f(x) = 0$ "


Theorem Proving

- Logic Programming uses the notion of an *automatic theorem prover* as an interpreter.
- The theorem prover derives a desired solution from an initial set of axioms.
- The proof must be a "constructive" one so that more than a true/false answer can be obtained
- E.G. The answer to
exists x such that $x = \text{sqrt}(16)$
- should be
 $x = 4$ or $x = -4$
- rather than
true

Non-procedural Programming

- Logic Programming languages are non-procedural programming languages
- A non-procedural language one in which one specifies **what** needs to be computed but not **how** it is to be done
- That is, one specifies:
 - the set of objects involved in the computation
 - the relationships which hold between them
 - the constraints which must hold for the problem to be solved
- and leaves it up to the language interpreter or compiler to decide **how** to satisfy the constraints

A Declarative Example



- Here's a simple way to specify what has to be true if X is the smallest number in a list of numbers L
 1. X has to be a member of the list L
 2. There can't be list member X2 such that $X2 < X$
- We need to say how we determine that some X is a member of a list
 1. No X is a member of the empty list
 2. X is a member of list L if it is equal to L's head
 3. X is a member of list L if it is a member of L's tail.

A Simple Prolog Model

Think of Prolog as a system which has a database composed of two components:

• **facts:** statements about true relations which hold between particular objects in the world. For example:

```
parent(adam, able). % adam is a parent of able
parent(eve, able). % eve is a parent of able
male(adam). % adam is male.
```

• **rules:** statements about relations between objects in the world which use variables to express generalizations

```
% X is the father of Y if X is a parent of Y and X is male
father(X,Y) :- parent(X, Y), male(X).
% X is a sibling of Y if X and Y share a parent
sibling(X,Y) :- parent(P,X), parent(P,Y)
```

Nomenclature and Syntax

- A prolog rule is called a **clause**
- A clause has a head, a neck and a body:

```
father(X,Y) :- parent(X,Y), male(X) .
      head      neck      body
```
- the head is a single predicate -- the rule's conclusion
- The body is a sequence of zero or more predicates that are the rule's premise or condition
- An empty body means the rule's head is a fact.
- **note:**
 - read :- as IF
 - read , as AND between predicates
 - a . marks the end of input

Prolog Database

```
parent(adam,able)
parent(adam,cain)
male(adam)
...
```

Facts comprising the
“extensional database”

```
father(X,Y) :- parent(X,Y),
               male(X).
sibling(X,Y) :- ...
```

Rules comprising the
“intensional database”

Queries

- We also have queries in addition to having facts and rules
- The Prolog REPL interprets input as queries
- A simple query is just a predicate that might have variables in it:
 - parent(adam, cain)
 - parent(adam, X)

Extensional vs. Intensional

The terms *extensional* and *intensional* are borrowed from the language philosophers use for *epistemology*.

- *Extension* refers to whatever *extends*, i.e., “is quantifiable in space as well as in time”.
- *Intension* is an antonym of extension, referring to “that class of existence which may be quantifiable in time but not in space.”
- NOT *intentional* with a “t”, which has to do with “will, volition, desire, plan, ...”

For KBs and DBs we use

- *extensional* to refer to that which is explicitly represented (e.g., a fact), and
- *intensional* to refer to that which is represented abstractly, e.g., by a rule of inference.

Prolog Database

```
parent(adam,able)
parent(adam,cain)
male(adam)
...
```

Facts comprising the “extensional database”

```
father(X,Y) :- parent(X,Y),
               male(X).
sibling(X,Y) :- ...
```

Rules comprising the “intensional database”

Epistemology is “a branch of philosophy that investigates the origin, nature, methods, and limits of knowledge”

Running prolog

- A good free version of prolog is swi-prolog
- GL has a commercial version (sicstus prolog) you can invoke with the command “sicstus”

```
[finin@linux2 ~]$ sicstus
SICStus 3.7.1 (Linux-2.2.5-15-i686): Wed Aug 11 16:30:39 CEST 1999
Licensed to umbc.edu
| ?- assert(parent(adam,able)).
yes
| ?- parent(adam,P).
P = able ?
yes
| ?-
```

A Simple Prolog Session

```
| ?- assert(parent(adam,able)).
yes
| ?- assert(parent(eve,able)).
yes
| ?- assert(male(adam)).
yes
| ?- parent(adam,able).
yes
| ?- parent(adam,X).
X = able
yes

| ?- parent(X,able).
X = adam ;
X = eve ;
no
| ?- parent(X,able) , male(X).
X = adam ;
no
```

A Prolog Session

```
| ?- [user].
| female(eve).
| parent(adam,cain).
| parent(eve,cain).
| father(X,Y) :- parent(X,Y), male(X).
| mother(X,Y) :- parent(X,Y), female(X).
|^Zuser consulted 356 bytes 0.0666673
sec.
yes
| ?- mother(Who,cain).
Who = eve
yes

| ?- mother(eve,Who).
Who = cain
yes
| ?- trace, mother(Who,cain).
(2) 1 Call: mother(_0,cain) ?
(3) 2 Call: parent(_0,cain) ?
(3) 2 Exit: parent(adam,cain)
(4) 2 Call: female(adam) ?
(4) 2 Fail: female(adam)
(3) 2 Back to: parent(_0,cain) ?
(3) 2 Exit: parent(eve,cain)
(5) 2 Call: female(eve) ?
(5) 2 Exit: female(eve)
(2) 1 Exit: mother(eve,cain)
Who = eve
yes
```

```

|?- [user].
| sibling(X,Y) :-
|   father(Pa,X),
|   father(Pa,Y),
|   mother(Ma,X),
|   mother(Ma,Y),
|   not(X=Y).

```

^Zuser consulted 152 bytes 0.0500008 sec.

```

yes
|?- sibling(X,Y).
X = able
Y = cain ;
X = cain
Y = able ;

```

```

trace sibling(X,Y).
(2) 1 Call sibling_0_11 ?
(3) 2 Call father_65641_0 ?
(4) 3 Call parent_65641_0 ?
(4) 3 Exit parent(adam,able)
(5) 3 Call male(adam) ?
(5) 3 Exit male(adam)
(6) 2 Call father(adam,able)
(6) 2 Exit father(adam,11) ?
(7) 3 Call parent(adam,1) ?
(7) 3 Exit parent(adam,able)
(8) 3 Call male(adam) ?
(8) 3 Exit male(adam)
(8) 3 Call male(adam)
(8) 3 Exit male(adam)
(9) 2 Call mother_65644_able ?
(9) 2 Exit mother_65644_able ?
(10) 3 Call parent_65644_able ?
(10) 3 Exit parent(adam,able)
(11) 3 Call female(adam) ?
(11) 3 Exit female(adam)
(10) 3 Back to parent_65644_able ?
(10) 3 Exit parent_65644_able ?
(10) 3 Exit parent_65644_able ?
(12) 3 Call female(eve) ?
(12) 3 Exit female(eve)
(9) 2 Exit mother(eve,able)
(14) 3 Call parent_65644_able ?
(14) 3 Exit parent_65644_able ?
(15) 3 Call female(eve) ?
(15) 3 Exit female(eve)
(13) 2 Exit mother(eve,able)
(16) 2 Call not_able_able ?
(17) 3 Call able_able ?
(17) 3 Exit able_able
(16) 2 Back to not_able_able ?
(16) 2 Exit not_able_able
(15) 3 Back to female(eve) ?
(15) 3 Exit female(eve)
(14) 3 Back to parent_65644_able ?
(14) 3 Exit parent_65644_able ?
(13) 2 Back to mother_65644_able ?
(13) 2 Exit mother_65644_able ?
(12) 3 Back to female(eve) ?
(12) 3 Exit female(eve)
(11) 3 Back to female(adam) ?
(11) 3 Exit female(adam)
(10) 3 Back to parent_65644_able ?
(10) 3 Exit parent_65644_able ?
(9) 2 Back to mother_65644_able ?
(9) 2 Exit mother_65644_able ?
(8) 3 Back to male(adam) ?
(8) 3 Exit male(adam)
(7) 3 Back to parent_65644_able ?
(7) 3 Exit parent_65644_able ?
(6) 2 Call mother_65644_able ?
(6) 2 Exit mother_65644_able ?
(5) 3 Call male(adam) ?
(5) 3 Exit male(adam)
(4) 3 Back to parent_65644_able ?
(4) 3 Exit parent_65644_able ?
(3) 2 Back to mother_65644_able ?
(3) 2 Exit mother_65644_able ?
(2) 1 Call sibling_0_11 ?
X = able
Y = cain
yes no
|%.

```

Program files

Typically you put your assertions (fact and rules) into a file and load it

```

|?- [genesis].
|consulting /afs/umbc.edu/users/f/i/finin/home/genesis.pl...
|/afs/umbc.edu/users/f/i/finin/home/genesis.pl consulted, 0 msec 2720
bytes
yes
|?- male(adam).
yes
|?- sibling(P1,P2).
P1 = cain,
P2 = cain ? ;
P1 = cain,
P2 = able ? ;
P1 = cain,
P2 = cain ? ;
P1 = cain,
P2 = able ? ;
P1 = cain,
P2 = cain ? ;
P1 = able,
P2 = able ? ;
P1 = able,
P2 = cain ? ;
P1 = able,
P2 = able ? ;
no
|%.

```

```
[finin@linux2 ~]$ more genesis.pl
```

```
% prolog example
```

```
% facts
male(adam).
female(eve).
parent(adam,cain).
parent(eve,cain).
parent(adam,able).
parent(eve,able).
```

```
% rules
```

```

father(X,Y) :-
  parent(X,Y),
  male(X).
mother(X,Y) :-
  parent(X,Y),
  female(X).
sibling(X,Y) :-
  parent(P,X),
  parent(P,Y),
  child(X,Y) :- parent(Y,X).

```

How to Satisfy a Goal

Here is an informal description of how Prolog satisfies a goal (like `father(adam,X)`). Suppose the goal is G:

- if $G = P,Q$ then first satisfy P, carry any variable bindings forward to Q, and then satisfy Q.
- if $G = P;Q$ then satisfy P. If that fails, then try to satisfy Q.
- if $G = \text{not}(P)$ then try to satisfy P. If this succeeds, then fail and if it fails, then succeed.
- if G is a simple goal, then look for a fact in the DB that unifies with G look for a rule whose conclusion unifies with G and try to satisfy its body

Note

- Two basic conditions are true, which always succeeds, and fail, which always fails.
- Comma (,) represents conjunction (i.e. and).
- Semi-colon represents disjunction (i.e. or):
`grandParent(X,Y) :-
 grandFather(X,Y);
 grandMother(X,Y).`
- No real distinction between rules and facts. A fact is just a rule whose body is the trivial condition true. These are equivalent:
`-parent(adam,cain).`
`-parent(adam,cain) :- true.`

Note

- Goals can usually be posed with any of several combination of variables and constants:
 - parent(cain,able) - is Cain Able's parent?
 - parent(cain,X) - Who is a child of Cain?
 - parent(X,cain) - Who is Cain a child of?
 - parent(X,Y) - What two people have a parent/child relationship?

Terms

- The term is the basic data structure in Prolog.
- The term is to Prolog what the s-expression is to Lisp.
- A term is either:
 - a constant - e.g.
 - john , 13, 3.1415, +, 'a constant'
 - a variable - e.g.
 - X, Var, _, _foo
 - a compound term - e.g.
 - part(arm,body)
 - part(arm(john),body(john))

Compound Terms

- A compound term can be thought of as a relation between one or more terms:
 - part_of(finger,hand)
- and is written as:
 - the relation name (called the principle functor) which must be a constant.
 - An open parenthesis
 - The arguments - one or more terms separated by commas.
 - A closing parenthesis.
- The number of arguments of a compound terms is called its arity.

Term	arity
f	0
f(a)	1
f(a,b)	2
f(g(a),b)	2

Lists

- Lists are so useful there is special syntax to support them, tho they are just terms
- It's like Python: [1, [2, 3], 4, foo]
- But matching is special
 - If $L = [1,2,3,4]$ then $L = [Head | Tail]$ results in Head being bound to 1 and Tail to [2,3,4]
 - If $L = [4]$ then $L = [Head | Tail]$ results in Head being bound to 4 and Tail to []

member

% member(X,L) is true if X is a member of list L.

```
member(X, [X|Tail]).
```

```
member(X, [Head|Tail]) :- member(X, Tail).
```

min

% min(X, L) is true if X is the smallest member
% of a list of numbers L

```
min(X, L) :-
```

```
member(X, L),
```

```
\+ (member(Y,L), Y>X).
```

- \+ is Prolog's negation operator
- It's really "negation as failure"
- \+ G is false if goal G can be proven
- \+ G is true if G can not be proven
- i.e., assume its false if you can not prove it to be true

Computations

- Numerical computations can be done in logic, but its messy and inefficient
- Prolog provides a simple limited way to do computations
- <variable> is <expression> succeeds if <variable> can be unified with the value produced by <expression>
 - ?- X=2, Y=4, Z is X+Y.
X = 2,
Y = 4,
Z = 6.
 - ?- X=2, Y=4, X is X+Y.
false.

From Functions to Relations

- Prolog facts and rules define *relations*, not *functions*
- Consider age as:
 - A function: calling *age(john)* returns 22
 - As a relation: querying *age(john, 22)* returns true, *age(john, X)* binds X to 22, and *age(john, X)* is false for every $X \neq 22$
- Relations are more general than functions
- The typical way to define a function **f** with inputs $i_1 \dots i_n$ and output **o** is as: **f(i₁, i₂, ..., i_n, o)**

A numerical example

- Here's how we might define the factorial relation in Prolog.

```
fact(1,1).
fact(N,M) :-
  N > 1,
  N1 is N-1,
  fact(N1,M1),
  M is M1*N.
```

```
def fact(n):
  if n==1:
    return 1
  else:
    n1 = n-1
    m1 = fact(n1)
    m = m1 * n
    return m
```

Another example:
square(X,Y) :- Y is X*X.

Prolog = PROgramming in LOGic

- Prolog is as much a programming language as it is a theorem prover
- It has a simple, well defined and controllable reasoning strategy that programmers can exploit for efficiency and predictability
- It has basic data structures (e.g., Lists) and can link to routines in other languages
- It's a great tool for many problems